

ASSURANCE SECTION

REPORT OF A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION VISIT

The Higher Learning Commission

Manhattan, KS 66502-6014

Dr. Margaret L. Bloom

Professor, Education/Counseling Psychology
Marquette University
Milwaukee, WI 53201-1881

Dr. David V. Curtis

Professor of Management, Emeritus
Governors State University
Frankfort, IL 60423

Ms. Ingrid Gould

Associate Provost for Faculty and Student Affairs
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637

Dr. Eleanor V Howell

Dean - School of Nursing
Creighton University

Omaha, NE 68178

Dr. Rubén Martínez

Director
Michigan State University
Julian Samora Research Institute, Michigan State
East Lansing, MI 48823

Dr. Mark S. Paller

Senior Associate Dean, Medical School
University of Minnesota-Twin Cities
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Carol Simpson Stern

Department of Performance Studies
Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60208

Dr. George E. Stevens

Dean Emeritus, College of Business Administration
& Grad. Sch. of Mgt.
Kent State University
Kent, OH 44242

Contents

D. Additional Locations or Branch Campuses Visited (if applicable)

E. Distance Delivery Reviewed

F. Interactions with Constituencies

IFCLA

1. Evidence that Core Components are met

,

,

warranted.)

Recommendation of the Team

CRITERION FIVE: ENGAGEMENT AND SERVICE.

1. Evidence that Core Components are met

,

B. Nature of Institution

1. Legal status

2. Degrees awarded

C. Conditions of Affiliation

1. Stipulation on affiliation

2. Approval of additional locations

3. Approval of distance delivery

4. Reports required

None

6. Other embedded change request

None

7. Campus Evaluation Visit

None

D. Commission Sanction or Adverse Action

None

E. Summary of Commission Review

Timing for next comprehensive visit

Academic year 2021-2022.

Rationale for recommendation:

The organization meets all the requirements for accreditation, fulfills all the Criteria for Accreditation with the Higher Learning Commission, and is in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations. The University is a long-standing and highly respected institution of higher education with an excellent record of teaching, research, and service. As one of the leading Jesuit higher education institutions in the nation, it is a well-managed organization that is guided by clarity of vision and a mission statement that emphasizes ethical as well as educational dimensions. It has demonstrated competence in long-range planning, fiscal responsibility, community and humanitarian service, and student academic achievement. The institution has been able to respond successfully to various types of challenges with strong and mature leadership that is characterized by a commitment to Jesuit values and ideals. As with any institution of higher education, this organization has many areas where it can challenge itself to higher standards, and over the years it has demonstrated both a desire and an ability to make adjustments for the good of students and the future of the university.

VI. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND EXPLANATIONS

(This section is optional.)

! Is the policy reasonable within the federal definition as well as within the range of good practice in higher education? (Note that the Commission will expect that credit hour policies at public institutions that meet state regulatory requirements dictated by the state will likely meet federal definitions as well.)

Yes

No

Nutrition
DIET 510-01 Human Nutrition in Physiology

Politic Science
AAM 293-01/POLS 215-01H

WORKSHEET FOR USE BY EVALUATION TEAMS

! Did the team determine in reviewing the assignment of credit to courses and programs across the institution that it was reflective of the institution's policy on ~~the afw~~ credit and reasonable and appropriate within commonly accepted practice in higher education?

Yes

No

Comments:

B: Does the team approve variations, if any, from the federal formula in the institution's credit to clock hour conversion?

Yes

No

Comments: There were no variations that were less than the federal formula.

(Note that the team may approve a lower conversion rate than the federal rate as noted above provided the team found no issues ~~with~~ institution's policies or practices related to the credit hour and there is sufficient student work outside of class as noted in the instructions.)

C: Recommend Commission Followup, If Appropriate

Is any Commission followup required related to the institution's clock hour policies and practices?

Yes

No

Rationale: Institutions credit and contact hours meet or ex2 (i) 0.v3 (:) 0.2 e0 01 re f 285.12 302

X The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements

 The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements but recommends follow

 The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution not to meet the Commission's requirements and recommends follow

 The team also has comments that relate to the institution's compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation. See Criterion (insert appropriate reference).

Comments:

Additional Monitoring, if any:

5. Title IV Program and Related Responsibilities: The institution has presented evidence on the required components of the Title IV Program.

- " General Program Requirements: The institution has provided the Commission with information about the fulfillment of its Title IV program responsibilities, particularly findings from any review activities by the Department of Education. It has, as necessary, addressed any issues the Department raised regarding the institution's fulfillment of its responsibilities in this area.
- " Financial Responsibility Requirements: The institution has provided the Commission with information about the Department's review of composite ratios and audits. It has, as necessary, addressed any issues the Department raised regarding the institution's fulfillment of its responsibilities in this area. (Note that the team should also be commenting under Criterion Two if an institution has significant issues with financial responsibility as demonstrated through ratios that are below acceptable levels or other financial responsibility findings by its auditor.)
- " Default Rates. The institution has provided the Commission with information about three years of default rates. It has a responsible program to work with students to minimize default rates. It has, as necessary, addressed any issues the Department raised regarding the institution's fulfillment of its responsibilities in this area.
- " Campus Crime Information, Athletic Participation and Financial Aid, and Related Disclosures: The institution has provided the Commission with information about its disclosures. It has demonstrated, and the team has reviewed, the institution's policies and practices for ensuring compliance with these regulations.

- " Student Right to KnowThe institution has provided the Commission with information about its disclosures. It has demonstrated, and the team has reviewed, the institution's policies and practices for ensuring compliance with these regulations. The disclosures are accurate and provide appropriate information to students. (Note that the team should also be commenting under Criterion One if the team determines that disclosures are not accurate or appropriate).

- " Satisfactory Academic Progress and AttendanceThe institution has provided the Commission with information about policies and practices for ensuring compliance with these regulations. The institution has demonstrated that the policies and practices me

Additional Monitoring, if any:

6. Institutional Disclosures and Advertising and Recruitment Materials: The institution has documented that it provides accurate, timely and appropriately detailed information to current and prospective students and the public about its accreditation status with the Commission and other agencies as well as ~~admits~~ programs, locations and policies.

CHECK THE APPROPRIATE RESPONSE THAT REFLECTS THE TEAM'S CONCLUSIONS:

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements.

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements but recommends ~~up~~ follow

The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution not to meet the Commission's requirements and recommends ~~up~~ follow

The team also has comments that relate to the institution's compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation. See Criterion (insert appropriate reference).

Comments:

Additional Moni

X The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements.

 The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution to meet the Commission's requirements but recommends ~~to~~ follow

 The team has reviewed this component of federal compliance and has found the institution not to meet the Commission's requirements and recommends ~~to~~ follow

 The team also has comments that relate to the institution's compliance with the Criteria for Accreditation. See Criterion (insert appropriate reference).

Comments:

Additional Monitoring, if any:

8. Public Notification of an Evaluation Visit and Third Party Comment: The institution has

ADVANCEMENT SECTION

REPORT OF A COMPREHENSIVE EVALUATION VISIT

TO

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY
Saint Louis, Missouri 63103

April 23-25, 2012

FOR

The Higher Learning Commission
A Commission of the North Central Association of Colleges and Schools

EVALUATION TEAM

Elizabeth E. Stevens, Ph.D. (Team
Chair)
Special Consultant to the University
Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66502-6014

Dr. Margaret L . Bloom
Professor, Education/Counseling Psychology
Marquette University
Milwaukee, WI 53201-1881

Dr. David V. Curtis
Professor of Management, Emeritus
Governors State University
Frankfort, IL 60423

Ms. Ingrid Gould
Associate Provost for Faculty and Student Affairs

University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637

Dr. Eleanor V . Howell
Dean - School of Nursing
Creighton University
Omaha, NE 68178

Dr. Rub Ĺn Martinez
Director
Michigan State University
Julian Samora Research Institute, Michigan State
East Lansing, MI 48823

Dr. Mark S. Paller
Senior Associate Dean, Medical School
University of Minnesota-Twin Cities
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Carol Simpson Stern
Department of Performance Studies
Northwestern University
Evanston, IL 60208

Dr. George E. Stevens
Dean Emeritus, College of Business Administration
& Grad. Sch. of Mgt.
Kent State University
Kent, OH 44242

Contents

- I. Overall Observations about the Organization.....4
- II. Consultations of the Team.....4
 - A. Topic One: Assessment.....4
 - B. Topic Two: Diversity.....6
 - C. Topic Three: Distance Education, Technology, and Teaching Excellence.....8
 - D. Topic Four: Campus Climate.....9
- III. Recognition of Significant Accomplishments, Progress, and/or Practices..11

I. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS ABOUT THE ORGANIZATION

Saint Louis University is an excellent higher education institution with a long history of distinction in teaching, research, and service. It adapts to changing circumstances with mature and productive decisions. Its governance and management structures are effective. The faculty, staff, and students are dedicated to the vision, mission, and values that the University espouses broadly and visibly. This University prides itself on an unusual amount of decentralization of responsibilities to the College, Department, and program levels. This decentralization becomes apparent in any examination of how this institution operates, and it is valued by the faculty, who have a high degree of academic freedom. In some instances, however, too much decentralization can be a barrier to needed change, or may cause inordinate delays and unnecessary expenditures of time and effort in trying to establish desirable university-wide practices.

The visiting team recognizes the overall values that the University holds, and offers the following advice and suggestions. These comments are based on the limited observations that were made in the course of evaluating the University for accreditation, and may be considered solely as consultations, with no requirement for follow-up to the points made in this section of the team's report. The team hopes that these comments will be especially helpful as the University responds to the items that are covered in the Assurance Section of this report.

II. CONSULTATIONS OF THE TEAM

A. Topic One: Assessment

Based on evidence that was available to the Visiting Team, the University has not yet fully developed an assessment program that is an overall, university-wide

administration, and

reminded that it is nice to compliment anyone on their work. "Thank you for picking up that trash," or "Wow, that floor is really gleaming!" don't take much time or effort, but can make the day for a worker who feels her work is never appreciated.

III. RECOGNITION OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS, PROGRESS, AND/OR PRACTICES

The Team recognizes that one of the distinctive characteristics of the University is its beneficial and visible presence in the downtown area of St. Louis, Missouri, which is unusual among large, not-for-profit universities. The University provides not only a large array of much needed services, but has a visible leadership role in the physical improvement of the midtown area of the city. The services that are provided also involve students, who, according to the mission of the University, will provide service to humanity. The Team suggests that the University consider applying for the optional Community Engagement Classification from the Carnegie Foundation.

Team Recommendations for the
STATEMENT OF AFFILIATION STATUS

Team Recommendations for the
STATEMENT OF AFFILIATION STATUS

ORGANIZATIONAL PROFILE

INSTITUTION and STATE: Saint Louis University, MO

TYPE OF REVIEW (from ESS): Continued Accreditation

No change to Organization Profile

Locations:

Distance Education Programs:

Present Offerings:

Bachelor- 24.0102 General Studies (Bachelor of Arts in General Studies) offered via Internet; Bachelor
51.3801 Registered Nursing/Registered Nurse (Bachelor of Science in Nursing) offered via Internet; Certificate
(Certificate in Biosecurity) offered via Internet; Doctorate 51.2211 Health Services Administration
(Ph.D. in Nursing) offered via Internet; Master's 51.2211 Health Services Administration (MS in Biosecurity Disaster
Preparedness) offered via Internet; Master's 51.3801 Registered Nursing/Registered Nurse (Nursing/Registered
Nurse (RN, ASN, BSN, MSN) (MS in Nursing)) offered via Internet

Recommended Change: